

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/645,994	08/22/2003	Jon Carter	1576.1000	8681
23649 7	590 11/04/2004		EXAMINER	
HANES & SCHUTZ, P.C. 102 S. TEJON ST.		CHUKWURAH, NATHANIEL C		
SUITE 800	51.	·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
COLORADO	SPRINGS, CO 80903		3721	·

DATE MAILED: 11/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/645,994	CARTER, JON			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Nathaniel C. Chukwurah	3721			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 A	August 2004.				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-8,12,15 and 16 is/5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) Claim(s) 1-5,9,10,13 and 14 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) Claim(s) 11 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	are withdrawn from consideratio	on.			
Application Papers		•			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) $\square$ The drawing(s) filed on $8/27/2003$ is/are: a) $\square$	· · · · · ·				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·	, ,			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	;				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica prity documents have been recei uu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage			
	to the confined copies flot feest	1			
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa				
<ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail  5) Notice of Informat  6) Other:	Date I Patent Application (PTO-152)			

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant remarks that the patentable distinct species relates to the element of claim 12 and traversed the restriction requirement. Applicant also points out that landscape pin magazine is merely an additional element of the elected species. Applicant, note that the pin magazine in embodiment of Fig. 2, is a different feature. The embodiments of Figs. 1 and 3-8 have no pin magazine as shown in Fig. 2. Therefore the restriction requirement of the embodiments is proper.

## **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show "a cupped edge hammer" as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet. even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified

and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In the specification, on page 4, on lines 6 and 7 #24 and #34 identify adapter plate.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, 9 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jacobsen et al. (US 4,706,864).

Jacobsen et al. discloses a tool comprising: a frame (21) sized to housed a landscape pin; a base (22) attached to the frame and having a slot (77 recess) formed in the base; a hammer (79) disposed within the frame and aligned with the slot; driving means (65) including a foot lever (foot pedal) for applying force to the hammer to drive the pin. Jacobsen et al. further shows base thickness similar to pin thickness (figs. 2, 11). Jacobsen et al. also discloses stop means (70) and hammer including stop means (bottom edge of 68); a return spring (73).

Moreover Jacobsen et al. shows method for driving a landscape pin as in the figures 11 and 17.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jacobsen et al. in view of Richardson (US 1,106,241).

Jacobsen et al. lacks a hammer with a cupped edge. However, Richardson discloses a cupped edge(15' cavity) hammer (13). In view of the teachings of Richardson, It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the hammer edge of Jacobsen with a cupped edge in order to conform with the edge of pin and minimize slipping.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 11 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Conclusion

Refer to attachment for notice of references cited and recommended for consideration based on their disclosure of limitations of the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathaniel C. Chukwurah whose telephone number is (703) 308-6385. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:00AM-2:30PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/645,994 Page 5

Art Unit: 3721

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on (703) 308-2187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nc

Rinaldi I. Rada Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700